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Common Questions

• What are electronics signs?
• How do we strike a balance between their use and community aesthetics?
• How do we allow them without:
  – Looking like Las Vegas?
  – Negatively impacting community safety?
• How do we regulate them in ways that are understandable and enforceable?
• Impact of EMCs and regulation on users?
Key things to know:

• They can operate in a broad range of capabilities
• The software that controls the displays allows the end user to follow local sign codes easily…
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**IF**

the sign codes are easy to understand
The “That One Sign” Problem

“THAT ONE SIGN”.....

• is too bright
• is too animated
• is too __________.
Resolving The “That One Sign” Problem

A. Dispel the biggest myths and concerns that drive regulatory decisions around these signs

B. Understand and Address the Six Key Regulatory Distinctions:
1. **Brightness**
2. Message hold times
3. Transition method
4. Transition duration
5. Area / Square Footage
6. Regulating EMCs post Reed vs Town of Gilbert
Common Concern #1

“These signs will make our community look like Las Vegas.”

There’s no comparison.
Let’s take a closer look.
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Common Concern #2

“The mere presence of these signs will distract drivers, and cause more accidents.”

The Truth:

Studies show there is NO causal relationship between these signs and accident rates.

Distraction vs. Danger
Statistical Analysis of the Relationship Between On-Premise Digital Signage and Traffic Safety

H. Gene Hawkins, Jr., Ph.D., P.E.

- Associate Professor and Research Engineer, Zachry Department of Civil Engineering, Texas A&M University
Statistical Analysis of the Relationship Between On-Premise Digital Signage and Traffic Safety

Background:
• Study examined data over a four-year period at 130 locations in four states.

Key Finding:
• “We did not find a statistically significant impact.”

Study Weblink:
• http://www.signs.org/planners
VTTI Study: “Driving Performance and Digital Billboards” - 2007

Key Facts:

» Participants drove in instrumented vehicle on a 50 mile loop in Cleveland
» Participants were not informed about true purpose of test
» Special equipment measured eye glances toward digital billboards and other comparison targets
» Goal: Measure duration of eye glances
Key Findings:
» The mean glance duration towards digital billboards was less than one second, both day and night.
VTTI Study: “Driving Performance and Digital Billboards” - 2007

**Key Findings:**

- The mean glance duration towards digital billboards was less than one second, both day and night.
- In comparison: Texting = mean glance duration of 4.6 seconds during 6 second period.

![Eye Glance Duration Diagram](image-url)
Recently Released FHWA Study

- **Intent:**
  - Measure possible affects of digital billboards on driver attention, distraction and safety

- **Approach:**
  - Approached research from a human factors perspective, much like Virginia Tech study

- **Release Date:**
  - December 27, 2013
FHWA Study

• Key Findings

  • Mean eye glance far less than 1 second

  • “The presence of CEVMS did not appear to be related to a decrease in looking toward the road ahead.”

  • “The results did not provide evidence indicating that CEVMS, as deployed and tested in the two selected cities, were associated with unacceptably long glances away from the road.”
Common Concern #3

“If we DO allow these signs, we should require long message *hold times* (> 1 min), because that is the only way our community will tolerate these signs”

**The Truth:**

A community’s negative emotional reaction is *almost always* more associated with improperly regulated *brightness*. 
Effectiveness of Using Hold Time Examples

- Message hold times are one of the most difficult regulatory distinctions to discuss.

- Recommendation: Use visual examples like the following to demonstrate hold times
SIMULATION OF 30 SECOND HOLD TIME WITH A ‘DISSOLVE’ TRANSITION
SIMULATION OF 10 SECOND HOLD TIME WITH A ‘DISSOLVE’ TRANSITION

FLU SHOTS
H1N1 shots now available
$15
Examples of Different Hold Times

Please visit: www.signs.org/planners
for examples of 30, 10, 5 and 3 second hold times.

Use them for:
• Internal staff discussion
• Planning commission
• Council meetings
• They save time and agony when discussing this topic

Consider other factors like allowable sign size, setbacks, etc when discussing hold times.
Common Concern #4

“EMCs are way too bright. They will shine in people’s living rooms, cause accidents, etc.”

The Truth:
Only improperly regulated or unregulated EMCs are way too bright.

There are now well established brightness guidelines that address this issue. Adopt these guidelines and you will NOT have dancing lights in living rooms.
Finding Common Ground
- On EMC and Digital Sign Issues

• Planning and Zoning Considerations
The Six Key Regulatory Issues

• **Brightness**
• **Message Hold Time**
  – How long a single message is visible
• **Transition Method (a.k.a. the “Frame Effect”)**
  – How the message changes to the next
• **Transition Duration**
  – How long that change takes
• **Area or Square Footage of EMC**
  – % of allowable sq. ft.
• **Regulating EMC’s Post Reed vs. Town of Gilbert**
  – Content neutrality
Regulatory Issue #1 – Brightness

- Brightness
  - How bright the sign is

- Regulatory Considerations
  - It is all relative….
Brightness

**Illuminance**
- Measured in footcandles; meters are inexpensive (<$100); easier to check and enforce

**Luminance**
- Measured in nits or candelas per square meter, meters are very expensive (approx $3,000); difficult to enforce.
ISA’s Recommended Brightness Guidelines

Developed by Dr. Ian Lewin, a renowned lighting expert with over 30 years experience in lighting science.

Developed solely for EMCs and are not applicable for traditional signs

Over 170 jurisdictions (8 state DOT’s) have adopted
Brightness

- **Regulatory Considerations**
  - Auto-dimming is a must:
    - “All EMCs shall be equipped with technology that automatically dims the electronic message center according to ambient light conditions.”
  - Primary Brightness Guideline:
    - “To ensure that EMCs are sufficiently visible but not overly bright, it is recommended that EMCs not exceed 0.3 footcandles over ambient lighting conditions when measured at the recommended distance, based on the EMC size.”
Nighttime Brightness

Result of ISA Guidelines

Sign can become difficult to read
Daytime Brightness

• Some jurisdictions have adopted daytime brightness limitations that are not effective.

Field testing in Pittsburg EMC is set at 2500 nits.

We do not recommend daytime brightness controls.
Regulatory Issue #2 – Message Hold Time

• Message Hold Time
  – How long a message must remain fixed in place before it can transition to another message

• Business Impact:
  – The shorter the hold time, the more beneficial for the user/business
    • Allow businesses to ‘cast a wider net’
    • Provide the ability to communicate sequential messages (directions, event times, etc.)
Regulatory Issue #2 – Message Hold Time

Sequential Messages and Hold Times:
Regulatory Issue #2 – Message Hold Time

• **Business Impact:**
  – Consider what problem you are trying to solve before regulating hold times
  – Retroactively regulating this area may create legal issues

• **Safety Concerns?**
  – Safety studies demonstrate EMC’s do not create a safety problem

• **Provide examples…**
Regulatory Issue #3 – Transition Method

• **Transition Method**
  – **How** one message transitions to the next message (not a timing issue)

Static/instant transition:
Level 1 Transition Method:
Static messages with instantaneous change (a.k.a Slideshow).

Note:
All transition method examples have 5 second message hold times.
Level 2 Transition Methods: “Fade” or “Dissolve”

Note: All transition method examples have 5 second message hold times.
Level 3 Transition Methods:
A display, normally with static images, with messages that appear to move, change in size, or are revealed sequentially.

Note:
All transition method examples have 5 second message hold times.
Level 4 Transition Method:
Full motion video or constant animation
Regulatory Issue #3 – Transition Method

• **Regulatory Considerations**
  
  – After evaluating options, have a discussion about what works best for your community
  – Keep in mind that there may be distinctions in permitted transition methods based on zoning districts
    • Downtown Districts
    • General Commercial Districts
    • Highway Commercial Districts
Regulatory Issue #3 – Transition Method

- **Regulatory Considerations**
  - Use definitions when describing permitted or prohibited transition methods

E. TRANSITION METHOD or FRAME EFFECT – a visual effect applied to a MESSAGE to transition from one MESSAGE to the next. TRANSITION METHODS include, but are not limited to the following:

(i) DISSOLVE – a Frame Effect accomplished by varying the light intensity or pattern, where the first Frame gradually appears to dissipate and lose legibility simultaneously with the gradual appearance and legibility of the second Frame.

- Be careful to revise traditional language that may conflict with the proposed EMC sign provisions
Regulatory Issue #4 – Transition Duration

• Transition Duration
  – How long it takes the transition method/frame effect to go from one message to the next.

• Regulatory Considerations
  – Keep transition to one second or less to minimize community complaints.
Regulatory Issue # 5 - Area of EMC

- Some jurisdictions choose to limit the square footage of EMCs differently than static signs

- This is often a result of a ‘That One Sign’ concern
Regulatory Issue # 5 - Area of EMC

Considerations

• Same as static signs
• Vary the allowable square footage based on the zoning district
  – Neighborhood districts more restrictive
  – General Business less restrictive
Regulatory Issue # 5 - Area of EMC

Usually at least 50% or more is needed to allow for logo, call to action & imagery.
Regulatory Issue # 6 – Regulating EMC’s post Reed vs. Town of Gilbert

• Content neutrality is essential now
  – Color limitations
  – Alphanumeric limitations
  – Sequential messaging

• Time, Place and Manner
Another Key Consideration: *Where* EMCs Are Allowed

- Restrictions are almost always based on ‘That One Sign’ concerns

- Consider the zoning district:
  - What EMC regulation will change based on district (are they allowed at all, size, use)?
  - Will the sign be across from or adjacent to residential areas?

- If brightness is *properly* regulated, digital can be within a few hundred feet of residential with no ‘dancing lights’
Proximity to Residential Zones

- This example: 200’ to nearest residential lot, 150’ to adjacent lot
General Considerations

• The community must engage businesses and users as much as residents.

• Education and visualization is key for community education on EMCs.
  – Utilize images and videos (good and bad)
  – Use local or regional examples as much as possible
  – Have information on the various studies on safety
  – Economic studies / impacts of regulation
Initiating Change

• A business may be the one to get the ball rolling
• Take a proactive approach
  – Meet with businesses and sign representatives
  – Find out what are the issues (brightness, timing, appearance, etc.?)
  – Offer to facilitate an educational meeting with the elected and planning officials and/or the public
  – Important to engage a stakeholders group on sign changes
Planning and Zoning Considerations

• **Education and illustration**
  – Easiest way to dispel most EMC misunderstandings and engage the public
  – Use videos and illustrations as much as possible

• **Understand the issues**
  – Focus on the sticking points for EMCs
  – Most likely related to the issues discussed today

• **Consider the zoning district:**
  – What EMC regulation will change based on district?
  – Context
Planning and Zoning Considerations

• Do not suggest copying legislation outright
  – Every community is different – cannot copy and paste
• Model regulations are available
  – While a community should not copy outright, they are full of useful definitions and guidance for drafting new regulations
• Recommend special administration options
  – Prior to permit issuance signed affidavit
Planning and Zoning Considerations

• Avoid color-based or text-based regulations
  • Could be 1st Amendment/Reed issues related to content neutrality
  • Lanham Act/Trademark infringement issues
Planning and Zoning Considerations

Test your regulations

• Sometimes the best intentions do not work out when put together in ordinance language.
• Work with a local sign company to test the regulations
Finding Common Ground

- Understanding the Impact on Digital Sign Users
Economic Impacts of LED Signs

Understanding The Economic Value of On-Premise Signs
Presented at National Signage Research and Education Conference (NSREC) – October, 2012
Economic Impacts of LED Signs

Car dealer outside of Kansas City
Added EMC March, 2011

Goals:
- Increase auto sales, and increase service work
- Enhance dealership’s reputation in the community

Signage strategy:
- 70% advertising for new car sales and service
- 30% civic event promotion

Economic Impact:
- 30% increase in auto sales
- 80% increase in service work
• Gives better visibility to all tenants.
• Makes sign easier to read
• Makes shopping center retail space more marketable.
The city of Centennial, CO began to allow digital signs in 2011. Learn of their experience in a video you can find at www.signs.org/planners.
Community-at-Large Benefits

1. They increase sales tax revenue.
2. They reduce blight by making businesses more viable.
3. They can reduce sign clutter.
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Community-at-Large Benefits

1. They increase sales tax revenue.
2. They reduce blight by making businesses more viable.
3. They can reduce sign clutter.
4. They make unreadable signs readable.
5. They almost always look better than static readerboards.
6. They can communicate Public Service Announcements (PSA’s).
7. They can be a symbol of community vitality.
Questions?
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International Sign Association (ISA)  
(480) 773-3756  
James.carpentier@signs.org