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New Urbanism
A planning and development approach based on principles of how cities and towns have been built for the last several centuries: walkable blocks and streets, housing and shopping in close proximity, and accessible public spaces. In other words, New Urbanism focuses on human-scaled urban design. (CNU)

Stapleton, Denver
Landscape Urbanism
A theory of urban planning arguing that the best way to organize cities is through the design of the city’s landscape rather than buildings. It is most often cited as a response to the “failings” of New Urbanism and the shift away from the comprehensive visions and demands of modern architecture and urban planning.
Landscape Urbanism
A theory of urban planning arguing that the best way to organize cities is through the design of the city’s landscape rather than buildings. It is most often cited as a response to the “failings” of New Urbanism and the shift away from the comprehensive visions and demands of modern architecture and urban planning.
The commercial strip... challenges the architect to take a positive, non chip-on-the-shoulder view. Architects are out of the habit of looking non-judgmentally at the environment because orthodox Modern Architecture is progressive, if not revolutionary, utopian, and pluralistic – it is dissatisfied with existing conditions. Modern architecture has been anything but permissive. Architects have preferred to change the existing environment rather than enhance what is there.

Venturi, Scott Brown, and Izenour
Learning from Las Vegas, 1972
“A Classy Strip is Worth the Trip”
Lessons from US 6 in Coralville, Iowa
Lessons from Successful (and Unsuccessful) Corridors

1. Corridor evolution is a long-term, incremental process, making
2. Incrementalism makes a planning framework really important
3. The quality of the public realm matters
4. All modes of travel should be accommodated safely and comfortably
5. Auto- and walkable/bikeable development must co-exist
6. Catalytic projects or focuses can start an effective process
7. Urban design codes can direct but not create markets
8. It’s not a good idea to depend on a single, “heroic” element
9. Urban corridors should be urban places
10. Corridors should help orient their users
The 71 B Plan

North College Avenue in 1978
Route 71B: Context
1. **Current Sprawl Scenario:**
   $600 million for 300 miles of new roads, or...

2. **Proposed Transit City Scenario:**
   $100 million for a streetcar system
Cul-de-sacs and large parking lots are reformulated into walkable town formats with interconnected street and open space networks that service high and low density neighborhoods.
A squareback—lined with townhouses framing a farmer’s market, a town green, and streetcar facilities—provides residential frontages along the corridor.
Offering the 17 ecosystem services:

1. Atmospheric regulation
2. Climate regulation
3. Disturbance regulation
4. Water regulation
5. Water supply
6. Erosion control and sediment retention
7. Soil formation
8. Nutrient cycling
9. Waste treatment
10. Pollination
11. Species control
12. Refuge/habitat
13. Food production
14. Raw material production
15. Genetic resources
16. Recreation
17. Cultural enrichment

Implement multi-modal transportation formats, like traffic circles and trails, that provide civic identity and neighborhood formations even at the edge.
The subject portion of the Highway 71B corridor generally does not possess a character which reflects well on the community. In most areas, the street right-of-way and associated development is designed to focus on automobile travel to the exclusion of other considerations. The street design encourages high vehicle speeds. There are limited or no provisions for pedestrian or bicycle access and minimal amounts of landscaping between the roadway and parking lots. Overhead wires are also prevalent in many areas. These factors present an unappealing aesthetic environment for residents, visitors and merchants. Sidewalks are limited along the corridor and if present, are typically narrow and adjacent to the roadway creating an unsafe and uninviting pedestrian environment.

- From the original Request for Proposals
A regional highway principally designed to move traffic and serve adjacent auto-oriented businesses. Improvements along the corridor to reduce or eliminate congestion, increase safety, and improve the motorist experience.

A high density mixed use urban corridor, designed for movement by active modes: walking, biking, transit. Served by a web of local streets and absorbing a substantial percentage of the city’s projected housing and population growth.

Principles of New Urbanism by the CNU
- Walkable blocks and streets
- Housing and shopping on close proximity
- Accessible public spaces
- Focus on human-scaled urban design
Preferences/Priorities

- Redevelopment of obsolete sites and buildings
- Continuous, comfortable sidewalks/pedestrian access
- Green space
- Better street appearance
- Redevelopment of large parking lots

- Better lighting at pedestrian level
- Better bike access/better trail connections
- Pedestrian access to business entrances
- More walkable development, less auto-orientation
- Better infrastructure/burial of utilities
Secondary street network
Access management
Viable existing development
Improved street environment with sidewalks
New mixed use infill
Higher density nodes with transit
Integrated shared use path
Secondary street network
Mainline Street Character

South School

North College
Mainline Street Character
Transportation Framework
Redevelopment/revision of underperforming centers

Housing variety and context

Higher-density nodes

Introducing strategic open space

Major center redesign, right-sizing/redesign/development of oversized parking lots

Special districts with interconnected parking

Housing variety and context

Redevelopment/revision of underperforming centers

**TOTAL YIELD IN ILLUSTRATIVE PLAN**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residential Units</td>
<td>2,924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Density</td>
<td>2,648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-family Attached/Townhouse</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small-lot Single-family</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiuse commercial (SF)</td>
<td>394,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Makerspace</td>
<td>19,400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>174,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall: Using opportunities, building on strengths and existing assets
South School Focus

**Research Campus Expansion.** Campus plan calls for extension to South School. Research Center Blvd transitions to a shared street and plaza at the campus core. Internal trails and greenways connect to Town Branch Trail.

**Commercial enhancement.** Redesign of busy neighborhood commercial center to provide internal pedestrian link to Dollar General, controlled curb cuts, and continuous walks along School. Shared parking and access increases parking efficiency.

**New mixed use/commercial/high-density residential.** Co-op site. Comprehensive mixed use redevelopment with multifamily and commercial components, including adaptive reuse of some existing buildings and site plan features that allude to the project’s history. Connections provided to adjacent regional trails.

**Infill townhomes.** Locust Street townhomes, with infill on residential street. Commercial infill on School Avenue frontage.

**Path connection.** A path and new creek bridge could connect the South School corridor to the Razorback Greenway and Walker Park.

**City Lumber.** Includes trail connection to Razorback Greenway.

**Small-lot single-family.** Neighborhood cluster connects to Razorback Greenway and is compatible with adjacent residential.

**Path connection.** A path and new creek bridge could connect the South School corridor to the Razorback Greenway and Walker Park.

**Infill townhomes.** Medium density townhomes and small-lot single family on infill sites along or near Archibald Yell. Major redevelopment of 4th and Block triangle.

**Southgate redevelopment area.** Includes trail connection to Razorback Greenway.

**New Walker Park neighborhood redevelopment.** Commercial services along South School, with interior access street and medium-density, family oriented townhomes behind, adjacent to Greenway and directly connected to Walker Park. Reinforces and complements new residential development in the Walker Park area.

**Path connection.** A path and new creek bridge could connect the South School corridor to the Razorback Greenway and Walker Park.

**New Be-ginnings.** Bridge housing project to serve unsheltered people, developed by Serve NWA.

**New multifamily with trail connection to Town Branch Trail.** Loop access street connects Research Center Boulevard and Cato Springs Road. At-grade parking under residential levels supports three floors. Supplemental surface parking can support additional housing. Market is strengthened by research campus and overall university-related demand. Trail connection links Cato Springs Trail to Town Branch Trail.

**Mill Building.** Illustrative plan includes retail or mixed use development along School Avenue frontage. Site could also be retained as a neighborhood green space with historic interpretation.

**“Arts” cluster.** Improved parking, signalized South Street intersection, and revision of Archibald Yell section.

**Infill townhomes.** Medium density townhomes and small-lot single family on infill sites along or near Archibald Yell. Major redevelopment of 4th and Block triangle.

**Infill townhomes.** Neighborhood commercial. Shared access and parking lot redesign for existing commercial uses on north side of Archibald Yell.

**Co-op site.** Comprehensive mixed use redevelopment with multifamily and commercial components, including adaptive reuse of some existing buildings and site plan features that allude to the project’s history. Connections provided to adjacent regional trails.

**See inset of this development area on pages 99 and 100.**
South School Focus
View looking south with City Lumber and existing commercial center (including Rick’s Iron Skillet) in foreground.

Internal street through Southgate multi-family and townhome community includes a path that would link these new residential areas to Walker Park over a new creek bridge and to the Walker Park Trail.

Looking north from north edge of City Liquor site.

Looking toward possible redevelopment of the existing Southgate shopping center.
Fiesta Square Focus

Transit City 2030 concept
Restaurant District Focus
Regulating Plan

MIDTOWN NORTH: Township to Millsap

Subdistrict edges
Urban place intersections
Intensity Nodes
Drake Enterprise Area
Fiesta Square District
Transitional Residential
Assumption of control of the Route 71B corridor by the City of Fayetteville
Accomplished

South School Redesign
Phase I: Design
Phase 2: Construction

South College Intersection
Phase I: Design and Construction

Archibald Yell Reconfiguration
Phase I: Redesign and execution

East-West Bikeway
Phase I: Redesign and construction

College Avenue: North to Township
Phase I: Design
Phase 2: Construction

Appleby/Plainview Connection
Phase I: Design and Construction
Principles of Corridor Urbanism

- Reality and Respect
- Resident Population
- Opportunities
- Transportation Function and Choice
- Urban Environment
Reality and Respect

- Respect existing business and build on the historic character and stories of the corridor.

- View change as evolutionary and market driven.

- Use the plan to guide this evolution.

- Avoid dependence on a single, big-ticket and low-probability project.
• Increase the number of people living and adjacent to the corridor.

• Work toward an environment where people can comfortably walk, bike, or use other active modes to travel to corridor destinations.

• Provide a variety of housing types attainable for a wide range of people with different needs.
Opportunities

• Identify and use opportunities – underused or oversized parking lots, vacant sites, obsolete uses, excess circulation.

• Fill gaps with new projects and purposeful spaces.

• Increase points of orientation (intersections, nodes, points of light) and decrease the length of undifferentiated stretches of “corridor.”
Transportation
Function and
Choice

• Fix functional transportation problems. Incorporate access and parking needs that exist today.

• Create a web of streets and alternative local routes.

• Provide sidewalk, off-road path continuity, and safe crossings that link present and future residents with each other and corridor stores, restaurants, workplaces, schools, and public spaces.

• Incorporate present transit, with the flexibility to adapt to new modes.
Build an attractive, accessible environment that works for people traveling from 3 to 50 mph.

Respond to the needs of both residents and businesses, establishing a fabric based on connectedness.

Create personality, texture, and social space.

Use topography, drainage patterns, and other assets to create public space and improve environmental performance.
Urban Corridors should:

• Be bridges, not barriers.
• Be unifiers, not dividers.
• Be places to come to, not just drive through.
• Incorporate, not reject, context.
• Build a local community.
• Don’t let the perfect be the enemy of the good.
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