Assessing Affordable Housing Need A Practical Toolkit

Jenni Easton, AICP Nick Fedorek



Research questions:

- What should communities know about their housing markets?
- What can various types of analysis tell local leaders about future housing needs?
- How do the numbers translate to concrete policy solutions and action plans?

What is affordable housing?

- ≤ 30% of household income spent on housing costs
- Paying over 30% for housing = cost burden
- Lower-income households usually spend higher percentages of income on housing
- Affordable housing affects everyone

Housing needs assessments...

- Involve both quantitative and qualitative data collection
- Account for the complex nature of housing markets by evaluating micro- and macro-level demographic, economic, and social trends
- Calculate "gaps" between current supply and future demand
- Deliver associated recommendations

Three variations







YAKIMA COUNTY

Washington State

Westmoreland County, PA

Colorado Springs, CO

Project goals:

- Fulfill requirements of HUD's Five-Year Consolidated Plan
- Address the housing element of the County's Comprehensive Plan
- Develop guiding strategies for public policy
 - Housing and community development activities
 - High-impact public-private partnerships

Two approaches:

Policy should ensure equal opportunities for housing choice, **but** the County needs to understand local markets to get the most benefit possible out of limited resources

VS.

Traditional Neighborhood Typology

Classifying neighborhoods by market characteristics to learn which interventions will be most effective HUD Communities of Opportunity Model



Balancing revitalization of high-poverty areas of racial/ethnic concentration with the expansion of affordable housing choice elsewhere

Neighborhood typology

- A neighborhood's vitality can be described as its stage along a continuum of change: stable, transitional, decline, renewal
- At each of these stages and according to defining characteristics, a different form of public intervention or non-intervention could be appropriate
- Doesn't inform *whether* we should invest in a certain area, but *how*

Mapping market status

- Geography: Block groups within school districts
- Composite market viability score assigned to each, representing an average of standardized housing market indicators:
 - RealSTATs transaction-level sales data
 - Household income
 - Cost burden
 - Structure age
 - Vacancy



Mapping market status

Maps as a tool for describing equity

- Regional racial and social inequalities often manifest as spatial inequity
- Intuitive, readable organization of infinite data points
- Means of exploring dynamics created by clustering of conditions
 - What characteristics define and separate neighborhoods?
 - How does a community calibrate policy to fit a variety of dynamics?

Equity indicators (some ditched, some kept)

- Educational proficiency
- Poverty
- Labor market engagement
- Job accessibility

- Health hazards exposure
- Transit access
 - Connectivity
 - Quality of life

Mapping opportunity

Westmoreland County Housing Policy and Plan, 2014

Education



The iterative process: Translating findings to recommendations

- Originally planned to quantitatively combine ALL indices via hierarchical cluster analysis, then attempted classification by scatter-plotting into quadrants
- This made no intuitive sense (... obviously).



The solution:

- Create categories of recommendations by market/character (example: strong urban, average rural, etc.)
- Opportunity maps serve as reference for individual investment decisions

Westmoreland County Housing Policy and Plan, 2014

The outcome:

 County has a proactive policy strategy for every type of neighborhood

City of Colorado Springs and El Paso County, Colorado

Comprehensive Housing Market Analysis, 2014

Project goals:

- Quantify precise future affordable housing needs
 - By demographic who will need housing?
 - By housing type what kinds of housing will be needed?
 - By geography where should resources be allocated?
- Increase housing market resilience
- Incorporate homelessness prevention into housing policy
- Coordinate City and County community development priorities

Project goals (contd.)

- Planning for volatility
- Transit-oriented development





Methodology

- Segment population by income tier, tenure, and geography
- Create "gap analysis"
 - Generate exact numbers of affordable units "missing" from inventory
 - Differentiate between "affordable" and "affordable and available"
- Project future need over next five years
- Create neighborhood typologies
- Verify findings through stakeholder interviews
 - Qualitative research adds nuance

Affordable Housing Gaps Analysis

Affordable Housing Deficit Projections

			Total Deficit in Affordable Units	Total Deficit in Available Units at Affordable Price Ranges
		Deficit, El Paso County	19,311	24,513
		ELI Households	3,057	4,352
		VLI Households	-173	3,741
r 100		LI Households	6,712	7,418
		Mod Households	9,715	9,001
e per		Deficit, Colorado Springs	15,612	19,817
e per		ELI Households	2,471	3,518
	2012	VLI Households	-140	3,025
Range		LI Households	5,426	5,997
		Mod Households	7,854	7,277
		Deficit, Balance of County	3,699	4,695
		ELI Households	586	834
		VLI Households	-33	717
		LI Households	1,286	1,421
		Mod Households	1,861	1,724
		Deficit, El Paso County	20,835	26,447
		ELI Households	3,298	4,695
		VLI Households	-186	4,037
		LI Households	7,241	
		Mod Households	10,482	
		Deficit, Colorado Springs	16,844	21,381
		ELI Households	2,713	3,863
	Projected, 2019	VLI Households	-153	-/
		LI Households	5,957	6,584
		Mod Households	8,622	
		Deficit, Balance of County	3,991	5,066
		ELI Households	632	
		VLI Households	-36	773
		LI Households	1,387	1,533
	L	Mod Households	2,008	1,860

Affordable Housing Deficits by Income and Availability



Housing + Transportation = Actual Housing Costs

• Center for Neighborhood Technology indices:



Neighborhood Typologies

- **Conditions Indices** lacksquare
 - **Community prosperity**
 - **Crime and safety** \bullet
 - Employment •
 - Housing market strength
 - **Homeownership** ۲
 - **Building conditions** •
 - Vacancy
- **Final typology matrix** lacksquare

Legend

Malor Highways

Break areas out of binary ۲

Composite Neighborhood Conditions Map



Neighborhood Typologies

Impact future project decisions lacksquare

Legend

Major Highways

- **Establish geographic priority areas** ۲
- Allocate resources for higher impact \bullet

Composite Neighborhood Conditions Map





State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015

Project goals:

- Create a foundation for statewide policy development
 - ...for people who aren't policy wonks
- Assess relative housing affordability
- Quantification of affordable housing gap by geography, tenure and income band
- Replicability

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015

Overcoming "Analysis by Committee"



Demographics vs. inventory

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015



уре		#	%
Group Home	ি	2,257	1.7%
Single-Room Occupancy	ŝ	1,385	1.0%
Studio	$\hat{\mathbf{O}}$	11,490	8.5%

Туре	#	%
Four or More 🏠	3,160	2.4%
Unit Type Not Available	9,701	7.2%
Total	134,393	100%

Current need vs. current inventory

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015



State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015

Assisted housing inventory analysis

- Not a pure market
- Compared supply to metrics of need
- Compared supply to descriptive characteristics of residents
 - One-eighth of households in subsidized units also used a voucher
 - Nearly 6,000 households exceeded income thresholds
- Analyzed units at risk and in pipeline

Gaps Analysis

- Segment by:
 - Income tier
 - Housing cost
 - Geography
- "Affordable" vs. "affordable and available"
- User-friendly formats

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015



% of Median Family Income		Affordable & Available Units	Gap
0% - 30%	113,762	30,184	-83,578
0% - 50%	261,834	106,665	-155,169

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015

Mapping the Gap

Extremely low-income renters face the largest gaps in the Puget Sound, Vancouver and south eastern regions



Urbanized Areas

- 1. Spokane, WA
- 2. Olympia--Lacey, WA
- 3. Vancouver, WA
- 4. Yakima, WA
- 5. Kennewick-Pasco, WA

Urban Puget Sound

- A. City of Seattle
- B. East King County
- C. South King County D. City of Tacoma
- D. City of Tacoma
- E. Seattle Urbanized Area (multi-part)

PIERC

Geographic Profiles

• Useful data...

...for people who don't use data

- The "elevator pitch" of affordable housing advocacy
- Clear data = better policy

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015

2015 Washington State Housing Needs Assessment

KING COUNTY



GAP

Percent of Median Family Income

Affordable Housing Gap

Units for Every 100 Households

15 0% - 30%

100

80

60

40

stin 20

Ħ

00

- Population: 1,940,777
- Area: 2,187 miles²
- Households: 796,555
- Median Family Income: \$88,000
- Low-income Renter Households: 179,695
- subsidized Housing Units: 57,259



Housing Market



0% - 50%

How Much of the Housing Stock Can the Median Family Income Afford to Buy?



a of owner occupied normed that a

Affordable Housing Advisory Board - 2015 Housing Needs Assessment

www.commerce.wa.gov/housingneeds

Geographic Profiles

- Sync inventory to demographics
 - **Expiring units**
- **Created for every county and** urbanized area
- **Automatic updating!**

State of Washington Housing Needs Assessment, 2015



Subsidized Housing Inventory

Subsidized Housing Units, Including Those That Are Scheduled to Expire by 2017



Are There Enough Subsidized Units for Eligible Renter Households at Different Income Thresholds?

% of Median	Renter	Subsidized Units for Which They Are Eligible*		Units per 100 Households
Family Income	Households	#	%	Housenoids
0% - 30%	74,955	47,334	100.0%	63
30% - 50%	49,835	24,115	50.9%	48
50% - 80%	54,905	2,003	4.2%	4
80% - 100%	35,780	443	0.9%	1

* Income eligibility was not available for all units in the inventory

Affordable Housing Advisory Board - 2015 Housing Needs Assessment

67,155

Overall takeaways: What did we learn?

- Data-driven analysis should be powerful, not "wonky"
- Know your client, know your audience, present accordingly
- Transparency improves usefulness
- Don't underestimate qualitative research
 - Verify everything, with everyone

Conclusions:

• Affordable housing matters *everywhere*

- Respond to changing trends
- Optimize program design
- Budget efficiently
- Studying housing needs help leverage outside resources
- HNAs can be conducted at any depth level and geography

Questions?



Nick Fedorek

Mullin & Lonergan Associates, Inc. 412.323.1950 *nickf@mandl.net*